

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/673,194	10/12/2000	Minoru Waki	001350	2228
75	90 12/23/2003		EXAMINER	
Armstrong Westerman Hattori			SHOSHO, CALLIE E	
McLeland & Na			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1725 K Street N W Suite 1000 Washington, DC 20006			1714	
			DATE MAILED: 12/23/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

3	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/673,194	WAKI, MINORU	LV.
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	100
	Callie E. Shosho	1714	\bigcirc
The MAILING DATE of this communication a	ppears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 14 November 2003 FAILS TO P Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to inal rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be eithe condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Application (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114	to avoid abandonment of thestriction of thestriction at it is to a timely filed amendnoppeal (with appeal fee); or (nis application. A proper reply nent which places the applica 3) a timely filed Request for 6	y to a tion in
PERIOD FOR	REPLY [check either a) or	b)]	
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing da b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY W 706.07(f).	s Advisory Action, or (2) the date set er than SIX MONTHS from the mai VAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTH	ling date of the final rejection. HS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See	e MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The ave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of erforms (1) the expiration date of the short by above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than threamned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	extension and the corresponding am rened statutory period for reply origin	ount of the fee. The appropriate exten ally set in the final Office action; or (2	nsion fee under) as set forth in
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appell 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 			
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entere	ed because:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require for	urther consideration and/or	search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see No	ote below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the applicat issues for appeal; and/or	ion in better form for appea	I by materially reducing or sir	mplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without can NOTE:	nceling a corresponding nu	mber of finally rejected claim	S.
3.☐ Applicant's reply has overcome the following r	ejection(s):		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) we canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	ould be allowable if submitt	ed in a separate, timely filed	amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request application in condition for allowance because		een considered but does NOT	T place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	because it is not directed	SOLELY to issues which were	e newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendation of how the new or amended claim	nent(s) a)⊡ will not be ente ns would be rejected is prov	ered or b) $oxtime \mathbb{Z}$ will be entered a ided below or appended.	nd an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	ows:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 9</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		a alle des Commisses	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a)			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure State	ement(s)(PTO-1449) Pape	r No(s)	
10. Other:			
		Callie E. Shosho Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1714	

Application Number: 09/673,194

Art Unit: 1714

Attachment to Advisory Action

1. Applicants' amendment filed 11/14/03 has been fully considered but it is not persuasive.

Specifically, applicants argue that none of the prior art cited by the examiner is relevant against the present claims given that none of the references disclose that the resin is crosslinked while maintaining the state of dispersion as required in all the present claims.

It is agreed that JP 09255867, Tonogaki et al. (U.S. 5,492,952), and Jakubauskas et al. (U.S. 3,980,602) disclose crosslinking the resin after application of the dispersion to substrate. However, it is noted that the present claims are drawn to a product, i.e. water-based pigment dispersion, not a method of making the pigment dispersion. That is, JP 09255867, Tonogaki et al., and Jakabauskas et al. do disclose crosslinking the dispersant. The only difference is that these references disclose crosslinking the dispersant at a different time than in the present invention. However, in each case, the end result is the same, the dispersant is crosslinked.

It is noted that applicants have filed 1.132 declaration on 5/23/03, which compares waterbased pigment dispersion of present invention in which the pigment is dispersed with the thermoplastic resin dispersant followed by crosslinking the dispersant with crosslinking agent, with water-based pigment dispersion outside the scope of the present claims wherein crosslinking agent is merely contained in the pigment dispersion and thus, the thermoplastic resin is not crosslinked with the crosslinking agent. It is shown that pigment dispersion of present invention is superior in terms of stability. However, it is the examiner's position that the declaration does not establish unexpected or surprising results over the cited prior art for the following reasons.

Application Number: 09/673,194

Art Unit: 1714

It is the examiner's position that the declaration does not compare the present invention with the "closest" prior art. That is, the declaration compares pigment dispersion where the pigment is dispersed with the thermoplastic resin dispersant followed by crosslinking the dispersant with crosslinking agent with pigment dispersion where the pigment is dispersed with the thermoplastic resin dispersant followed by addition of crosslinking agent wherein the crosslinking agent is merely mixed with the pigment dispersion and the dispersant is not crosslinked with the crosslinking agent. However, JP 09255867, Tonogaki et al., and Jakubauskas et al. do disclose crosslinking the dispersant. The only difference is that these references disclose crosslinking the dispersant at a different time than in the present invention. That is, while the present invention discloses forming pigment dispersion followed by crosslinking dispersant, followed by coating substrate, the references each disclose forming pigment dispersion, followed by coating substrate, followed by crosslinking. However, in each case, the end result is the same; the dispersant is crosslinked.

With respect to the added limitation that the resin is crosslinked while "maintaining the state of dispersion", attention is drawn to col.5, lines 6-7 which state that the water-soluble resin having carbonyl groups functions as a dispersant of the pigment. That is, it is the dispersant which forms the dispersion of the pigment. The dispersion is formed because the dispersant disperses the pigment. Thus, even upon the removal of water, as set forth in the cited prior art, so that the crosslinking can occur, a state of dispersion will be maintained because the dispersant would still function so as to disperse the pigment.

Art Unit: 1714

Page 4

NOTE: In order that applicants may respond to examiner's arguments, it is noted that if

applicants were to respond to this Advisory Action, such response would be considered even

though it is after-final.

2. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Callie E. Shosho whose telephone number is 571-272-1123. The

examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (6:30-4:00) Alternate Fridays Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Vasu Jagannathan can be reached on 571-272-1119. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

Callie E. Shosho

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1714

CS

12/11/03